

A Framework for Efficient Drawdown: Finding a simple solution for a complex problem

Building and managing a drawdown strategy is complicated. How can you determine the appropriate amount of income to take from a portfolio and be sure that the portfolio has the best investment mix for that desired income level? The Efficient Drawdown Portfolio frames the development of a drawdown strategy as a straightforward choice between the desired level of income and the potential risk to that income. This approach is similar to the way that Markowitz's Modern Portfolio Theory reduces portfolio construction choices from an infinite set of potential asset allocations to a series of points along a line representing the highest potential return for a desired level of risk. The concept of an Efficient Drawdown Portfolio highlights the outcome-oriented nature of a drawdown strategy. It focuses on the tradeoff between an individual's two primary concerns: The amount of money that will be available to them in retirement and the likelihood of depleting their savings at that spending level.

Two elements define every drawdown strategy: a desired income level and an investment portfolio. Finding the optimal balance between these two elements is complex; there are not only an infinite number of possible asset allocations, but also an infinite number of possible income levels for each asset allocation. A typical approach to building a drawdown strategy is to surmise a reasonable spending rate for an existing portfolio allocation: for example, "How much can be safely drawn down from a 60/40 balanced fund?"

Conventional drawdown strategies—finding a good investment portfolio and a reasonable drawdown rate—generally fail to address the dynamic relationship between desired income level, investment performance and risk to income

This method treats portfolio selection and desired income level as sequential problems. The danger of this simplified approach is that it fails to recognize the dynamic relationship between portfolio allocation and desired income level—that is, the fact that the portfolio that provides the best chance of achieving one desired income level may not be the best portfolio for another desired income level. As a result, determining an income level based on a portfolio's allocation is unlikely to result in an optimal drawdown strategy, even if the portfolio is efficient and the income level is reasonable.


More importantly, this common approach fails to address an individual's two main concerns: their desired income level and the degree of certainty that they will be able to maintain that income level. Modern Portfolio Theory ("MPT") is a useful analogy here. MPT helped align portfolio construction decisions with the factors that are most relevant to the investor's experience: Individual investors typically aren't especially concerned about discrete pieces of their portfolio, such as their allocation to U.S. stocks versus international shares, or how much they have invested in corporate bonds; instead, we believe they are focused on the portfolio's overall returns and risk of downturns. The mean variance efficient frontier identified by MPT enables investors to make tradeoffs about investment choices based on their impact on the overall portfolio's expected investment returns and risk. An optimal drawdown strategy should accomplish something similar by enabling investors to make tradeoffs based on factors that are most relevant to them — namely, the level of income they want and potential risk to that income.

The starting point for building an optimal drawdown strategy is the concept of an Efficient Drawdown Portfolio. Optimal drawdown is built on two key observations: First, for any income level there is a portfolio on the efficient frontier that will minimize the risk to achieving that income level. Second, individuals will choose the portfolio that offers the lowest risk to their desired income level. The Efficient

Drawdown Portfolio combines the desired income level with the asset allocation that minimizes the risk of generating that income.

Figure 1 illustrates the relationship between portfolios on the efficient frontier and the risk to a reasonable level of income.

Figure 1: An efficient drawdown portfolio¹



Source: LGIM America.

As we see in the chart, even with an efficient portfolio and a reasonable level of income (represented by portfolios A, B and C), there is still room to maximize the efficiency of the drawdown strategy—one that provides the desired level of income with the minimal amount of risk.

Finding the Efficient Drawdown Portfolio for a given level of income involves finding the “Goldilocks” position along the efficient frontier. When the investment portfolio is too conservative (Portfolios A and B) there is not enough growth potential to meet income needs. When the investment portfolio is too aggressive (Portfolio C) there is too much risk that investment losses could reduce the portfolio’s ability to maintain a desired income level. One important observation: In a drawdown strategy, investment risk is not a good proxy for the risks to investors’ income. We believe, some of the most inefficient strategies—those with the greatest risk to income, such as Portfolio A—are those that use the most conservative underlying investment portfolios.


Investment risk is not a good proxy for the risks that investors actually face in a drawdown strategy

To illustrate this with a real world example, consider an individual who has saved \$500,000 at retirement and anticipates needing income of \$38,500 per year for the next 15 years (we will talk more about the pros and cons of fixed versus infinite horizons in a later paper). By holding a 70/30

portfolio consisting of US Large Cap Equities and US Fixed Income, this investor has a 74% chance of maintaining that income level based on forward looking assumptions as of June 2021²—which seems to be a reasonable outcome. But if we apply the concept of an Efficient Drawdown Portfolio, we find that this individual can increase their chance of maintaining their desired retirement income level to 77% by choosing a 28% allocation to equity. This portfolio will reduce the investor’s likelihood of needing to change their retirement lifestyle, while also providing greater confidence given the lower asset class volatility. However, moving further into a more conservative portfolio reduces the potential success rate significantly: A 95% fixed income portfolio provides only a 60% chance of maintaining their desired income level. This scenario highlights the concept that decisions based on investment risk alone can lead to a poor drawdown strategy.

Repeating the Efficient Drawdown Portfolio process for different levels of income (as seen in Figure 2) shows how the optimal investment portfolio differs at various desired income levels. Higher levels of income require more aggressive investment portfolios, while lower levels of income can be sustained by more conservative investment portfolios.


Figure 2: Adjusting for varying income levels¹



Source: LGIM America.

Establishing the optimal portfolio for each income level simplifies the construction of a drawdown strategy to the choice of a point along the Income Efficient Frontier, as shown in Figure 3 on the following page. Each point on the blue line represents a different investment portfolio, illustrating the relationship between the desired level of income and the risk to that income in an Efficient Drawdown Strategy

Figure 3: Translating the drawdown to the participant experience¹



Source: LGIM America.

This new framework makes selecting an optimal drawdown strategy similar to the choice of an investment portfolio using Modern Portfolio Theory. The choice is a function of individual preference, based on consideration of the tradeoffs between desired income level and potential risk to that income. Other individual factors also influence each person’s Efficient Drawdown Portfolio, including variables such as how long the income is needed, how frequently the income level will be adjusted and whether the income needs to adjust with inflation, as well as capital markets forecasts. We will explore these nuances in later publications of this series. But no matter which point on the Income Efficient Frontier the investor chooses, he or she will have an optimal drawdown strategy.

1 Chart depicted is simulated and intended for illustrative purposes only. The illustration is based on the thoughts and opinions of LGIM America. There is no guarantee that this approach will be successful or avoid losses in whole or in part or before the desired timing. The above is not an investment recommendation and does not represent any particular product or portfolio.

2 Source: LGIM America. The chances of the maintaining income level are based on simulations of the hypothetical portfolio generating sufficient capital for the desired income using our 6/30/21 capital market expectations. Projections are estimated for illustration purposes only and are not guaranteed. Our capital market assumptions, also known as the LGIM TRESAA Economic Scenario Generator assumptions, are updated quarterly and available upon request.

About LGIM America

LGIM America (LGIMA) was founded in 2006 with the purpose of helping people achieve their long-term financial goals. We offer a range of strategies to help our institutional clients (corporations, healthcare agencies, non-profit, education, public plans and Taft-Hartley) manage their investment objectives, which can range from market-based alpha-oriented strategies to those that are designed to be more liability-centric, derivative overlays, or indexed solutions. Encouraging a diverse and inclusive environment coupled with a solutions-focused culture allows us to increase our breadth of knowledge and the likelihood of improved client outcomes and stronger financial performance. We have teams of experienced, innovative professionals committed to helping plan sponsors meet their pension promises, managing investment exposures efficiently to seek enhanced returns while mitigating risks, and working to generate returns while making a positive societal difference. As of December 31, 2021, LGIM America had \$275 billion in assets under management.

For further information about LGIM America, find us at www.lgima.com

Important disclosures

For educational purposes only. The above is intended for illustrative purposes only and is not intended to depict or demonstrate any particular product or approach that is best. Every retiree's situation is different depending upon their assets, liabilities, other sources of income, tax situation and market factors, both foreseen and unforeseen. Please consult your professional advisors, including investment advisers and tax advisors for additional information. This presentation represents the thoughts and opinions of Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. There is no guarantee that the drawdown strategies described will achieve desired incomes in whole or in part or within the timing proposed. Further, there is no guarantee that the use of either strategy will prevent the total loss of principal.

The results herein are based on simulated or hypothetical assumptions that have certain inherent limitations. One of the limitations of hypothetical performance results is that they are derived from historical data and generally prepared with the benefit of hindsight. In addition, hypothetical trading does not involve financial risk, and therefore, no hypothetical trading record can completely account for the impact of financial risk in actual trading. For example, the ability to withstand losses or to adhere to a particular trading program in spite of trading losses are material points which can also adversely affect actual trading results. There are numerous other factors related to the markets in general or to the implementation of any specific trading program which cannot be fully accounted for in the preparation of hypothetical performance results and all of which can adversely affect actual trading results. No representation is being made that any account will or is likely to achieve profits or losses similar to these being shown. In fact, there are frequently sharp differences between hypothetical performance results and the actual results subsequently achieved by any particular trading program.

The hypothetical simulations presented apply LGIM TRESAA Economic Scenario Generator assumptions as well as other assumptions which are available upon request. Hypothetical results are for illustrative purposes, not actual performance of any product or its underlying strategies.

The material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed as a solicitation to buy or sell any securities, financial instrument or to provide any investment advice or service. Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. does not guarantee the timeliness, sequence, accuracy or completeness of information included.

Unless otherwise stated, references herein to "LGIM", "we" and "us" are meant to capture the global conglomerate that includes Legal & General Investment Management Ltd. (a U.K. FCA authorized adviser), LGIM International Limited (a U.S. SEC registered investment adviser and U.K. FCA authorized adviser), Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. (a U.S. SEC registered investment adviser) and Legal & General Investment Management Asia Limited (a Hong Kong SFC registered adviser).

Views and opinions expressed herein are as of the date set forth above and may change based on market and other conditions. The material being presented is confidential and intended for the person to whom it has been delivered and may not be reproduced or distributed. The material is for informational purposes only and should not be construed as a solicitation to buy or sell any securities, financial instrument or to provide any investment advice or service. Legal & General Investment Management America, Inc. does not guarantee the timeliness, sequence, accuracy or completeness of information included. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance and no representation, express or implied, is made regarding future performance.

